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ABSTRACT

Credit risk is on an increasing rate and is becoming an area of concern to many people and institutions in the lending business globally. This kind of exposure leads to instability and poor financial performance of microfinance institutions. Microfinance institutions are exposed to credit risk and therefore it is important for them to come up with mitigation strategies. The current study sought to find out the effects of credit risk mitigation strategies on the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town. The objectives of the study were to determine the role of loan appraisal procedures, debt recovery policies, credit risk monitoring and diversification of credit products on the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town. The study used a sample size of 75 respondents who were drawn from selected microfinance institutions in Nakuru town. The target population for the study was 500 respondents drawn from various microfinance institutions in Nakuru town. The sample size comprised of respondents drawn from various microfinance institutions drawn in Nakuru. Questionnaires were the main data collection tool that was used. Data was analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) and was presented in form of frequency tables, charts and graphs. The results revealed that credit risk monitoring was negatively correlated with profitability. However, this correlation was not statistically significant hence the null hypothesis 3 was retained and concluded that there was no evidence based on the sample to suggest that credit risk monitoring as associated with profitability. This is in agreement of the findings from a study carried out by Saunders, (2012) in the United States, the findings indicated that debt recovery policies largely affected the profitability of microfinance institutions. He looked at various microfinance institutions in the country and also investigated the policies that were being used in debt collection. The results revealed that credit risk monitoring was negatively correlated with profitability. The results revealed that credit risk monitoring was negatively correlated with profitability.
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CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Financial institutions are pertinent to economic growth through the financial services they provide. Their intervention role can be said to be a catalyst for economic growth. The efficient and effective performance of the financial institutions overtime is an index of financial stability in any nation. The extent to which a financial institution extends its operation to the public or productive activities accelerates the pace of a nation’s economic growth and its long-term sustainability (Kolapo, Ayeni & Oke, 2012). In the 21st century, the business environment is added multifaceted and intricate. Nevertheless, one should be prepared to navigate these challenges. Without a doubt, in the present-day’s unpredictable and explosive atmosphere all the financial institutions are in front of a heavy risks like credit risk, liquidity risk, operational risk, market risk, foreign exchange risk, and interest rate risk, along with others, which may possibly intimidate the survival and success of the financial institution’s Corporate Performance.

Risk is an inevitable phenomenon which has lived with mankind since time immemorial. In our domestic and especially in our business life, we find ourselves in situations where risk taking becomes the solution to our break through. Nevertheless, one should find a way to minimize or manage this risk in order not to affect the expected result from a given investment. In the financial sector, risk mitigation is seen as one of the most essential internal itineraries upon which decisions are made by financial institutions. (Aureliju, Jinkens, Mahmud & Briggs 2014).

Carey (2001) disclosed that the most essential issue in the managing of an economy is the mitigation of risk. This is not different from what happens in the financial institutioning industry. In the aspect of financial institutioning, credit risk is given much attention due to the characteristics of their borrowers and the kind of businesses they invest into. The financial institution theory identifies six popular categories of risk which are related with credit guidelines of financial institutions. They include credit risk (risk of repayment), interest risk, portfolio risk, operating risk, credit deficiency risk, and trade union risk (Muhammad, 2014). Analyses have shown that, credit risk is the main risk that causes the collapse of a financial institution. (Sinkey, 1992)
Over the years, financial institutions have encountered hitches from different sources. The chief causes of these challenges are the careless ways of scrutinizing borrowers and counterparties, improper management of portfolios, and ignoring the economic indicators of the country or other situations that can result to decline in the credit level of a financial institution’s counterparties.

1.1.2 Microfinance Institutions in Kenya

As illustrated by Aduda and Kalunda (2012), Kenya is known as one of the African countries at the forefront in the discovery of the significance of MFIs as a poverty eradication tool thus more efforts have been directed in the development and promotion of the MFI sector. Microfinance industry in Kenya has evolved and is carried out in diverse institutional forms, which include the semi-formal, formal and non-formal providers (Muriuki, Maru, & Namusonge, 2015). The formal institutions include commercial financial institutions; Credit only MFIS, Deposit taking microfinance financial institutions, semi-formal include co-operative societies, NGOs. Further, in-formal financial institutions include Rating Savings and Credit Association (ROSCAs), and Accumulating Saving and Credit Associations (ASCAs). (Ayele, 2015) financial institutions, Credit only MFIs, Commercial Financial institutions focusing on microfinance, Developmental Institutions, Insurance Companies (micro-insurance), financial institutions and Wholesale MFI lenders. The establishment and registration of Association of Microfinance Institutions (AMFI) in 1999 under the Societies Act was to operate as an oversight association for the MFIs doing business in Kenya. AMFI’s major objective is to promote expansion and progress of Microfinance institutions by encouraging well-organized as well as efficient presentation of services.

In relation to the performance of MFIs, AMFI report (2013) indicated a gross portfolio increase in 2012 because of the increase of interest rates on loans in the perspective of elevated inflation. The report also indicates that profitability and sustainability levels of the sector dropped dramatically because of high operating costs that resulted from expensive lending rates and high-risk exposure. In addition, Operations self-sufficiency (OSS) decreased in the year 2012 and the decrease was because of decreased performance of the Deposit taking microfinance as their Operations self-sufficiency dropped from 114% to 104% as of December 2011. Further, higher operating costs led to decreased levels of efficiency and profitability. The funding costs increased to 8.6% while operating costs shot up to 26.7%. In terms of operational costs associated with staffing ration increased to 53.3 percent as more MFI operation called for more field staff. This shows that on overall, the
operational self-sufficiency and sustainability of microfinance institutions in Kenya have been decreasing over the years (AMFI, 2013).

1.2 Statement of the problem

Sound credit mitigation policy is a prerequisite for a financial institution’s stability and continuing profitability, while deteriorating credit quality is the most frequent cause of poor financial performance and condition. According to Gitman (1997), the probability of bad debts increases as credit standards are relaxed. Firms must therefore ensure that the management of receivables is efficient and effective. Such delay on collecting cash from debtors as they fall due has serious financial problems, increased bad debts which affect customer relations. If payment is made late, then profitability is eroded and if payment is not made at all, then a total loss is incurred. On that basis, it is simply good business to put credit management at the front end by managing it strategically.

As with any financial institution, the biggest risk is lending money and not getting it back. Credit risk is a particular concern for MFIs because most micro lending is unsecured (i.e., traditional collateral is not often used to secure microloans Craig Churchill and Dan Coster (2001). The people covered are those who cannot avail credit from financial institutions and such other financial institutions due to the lack of the ability to provide guarantee or security against the money borrowed. Many financial institutions do not extend credit to these kinds of people due to the high default risk for repayment of interest and in some cases the principle amount itself. Therefore these institutions required to design sound credit management that entails the identification of existing and potential risks inherent in lending activities.

Matu (2008) carried out a study on sustainability and profitability of financial institutions and noted that efficiency and effectiveness were the main challenges facing Kenya on service delivery, Orua (2009) did a study on the relationship between capital structure and financial performance of financial institutions in Kenya, Gitau (2010) did a study on assessment of strategies necessary for sustainable competitive advantage in the financial industry in Kenya with specific focus to Faulu Kenya.

Achou and Tenguh (2008) also conduct research on financial institution performance and credit risk management found that there is a significant relationship between financial institutions performance (in terms of profitability) and credit risk management (in terms of
loan performance). The purpose of this study was to understand the effect of credit management on their financial performance.

There have been attempts in the past to study financial institutions and Micro lending but much focus has been on the impact of MFIs in poverty alleviation, especially in Kenya but much less has been done to investigate the effect of credit mitigation strategies on financial position of financial institutions in Kenya, therefore this research addresses that gap.

1.3 Objectives of the study

1.3.1 General Objective
The general objective of this study was to investigate the role of credit risk mitigation strategies on profitability of micro-finance institutions in Nakuru town.

1.3.2 Specific Objectives
i. To determine the role of loan appraisal procedures on profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town
ii. To assess the role of debt recovery policies on the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town.
iii. To examine the role of credit risk monitoring on the profitability microfinance institutions in Nakuru town.
iv. To establish the role of diversification of credit products on the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town.

1.4 Research Hypothesis
H01: There is no clear link between loan appraisal procedures and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town
H02: There is no clear link between debt recovery policies and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town
H03: There is no clear link between credit risk monitoring and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town
H04: There is no clear link between diversification of credit products and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town
1.5 Significance of the Study
The study is expected to make contribution to knowledge in the following areas: Provision of information about credit risk management in the Kenyan financial institutioning sector, Provision of a fundamental material for scholarly discourse in management science relating to corporate risk mitigation policies, assisting in providing information on the impact of risk management on the profitability of Kenyan financial institutioning sector. The study will also provide background information to other researchers and scholars who may want to carry out further research on the role of credit risk mitigation policies on the performance of commercial financial institutions in Kenya. This study will facilitate individual researchers to identify gaps in the current research work and carry out further research in those areas.

1.6 The scope of the Study
The current study sought to find out the effects of credit risk mitigation strategies on profitability of microfinance institutions. The study will be carried out in Nakuru town and therefore will cover microfinance institutions within Nakuru town. The study will mainly focus on the four objectives which are loan appraisal procedures, debt recovery policies, credit risk monitoring and diversification of credit products and their effect on the profitability of microfinance institutions.

1.7 Limitation of the Study
Financial institutions are normally very busy, competitive and restrictive. The major limiting factors of this research work are time constraint and cooperation by the staff in giving internal information needed for the study. The researcher however deployed adequate ethical considerations to ensure outmost confidentiality of the information given by the institutions and explain the purpose of the study.
1.8 Operational definition of terms

Credit risk monitoring: A credit risk is the risk of default on a debt that may arise from a borrower failing to make required payments. Credit risk monitoring refers to how institutions manage and look out for these types of risks. (Lybeck, 2011)

Credit risk: Credit risk refers to the risk that a borrower may not repay a loan and that the lender may lose the principal of the loan or the interest associated with it (Dickens, 2013).

Debt recovery policies: Policies that are used to make people or companies pay the money that they owe to other people or companies, when they have not paid back the debt at the time that was arranged.

Microfinance institutions: A financial institution specializing in financial institutioning services for low-income groups or individuals. A microfinance institution provides account services to small-balance accounts that would not normally be accepted by traditional financial institutions, and offers transaction services for amounts that may be smaller than the average transaction fees charged by mainstream financial institutions. (Wong, 2013)

Mitigation: The action of reducing the severity, seriousness, or painfulness of something (Investopedia, 2016).

Profitability: The ability to earn a profit in a business or in an organization. In this study, profitability will be measured using return on Equity.
CHAPTER TWO
LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction
This chapter summarizes the information from the available literature in the same field of study. It reviews theories of credit management as well as empirical studies on credit management and financial performance in Kenya and in other countries.

2.2. Theoretical Review
Theories on credit risk mitigation and the profitability of microfinance institutions are discussed below. The theories are the Credit Management Theory, the Adverse Selection Theory, theory of Information Assymetry and the adverse selection theory. All these theories are discussed in relation to the study.

2.2.1 Credit Management Theory
Woolcock (2000) proposed the Credit management theory which states that the markets for credit or loans are highly shaped by the financial institutions (who are lenders) strategies for potential borrowers screening and by addressing the opportunistic behavior which is encouraged by the nature of loan contracts. Accordingly, lenders usually increase credit pricing to a level that they expect returns to be maximized. This often excludes small, risky and costly borrowers. The consumption of credit tends to be inversely related to both the interest rates and the required collateral. Microfinance institutions tend to apply the credit management theory taking advantage of the opportunistic behavior presented by potential borrowers. Consumption of credit is collated to the collateral requirements and a variable interest rate pricing policy might be utilized by individual financial institutions (Tanui, Wanyoike & Ngahu, 2015).

2.2.2 Theory of Information Assymetry
Information asymmetry theory was proposed by Akerlof in 1970. Akerlof’s (1970) argued that in markets, buyer usually use market statistic to determine the goods value. Therefore, the clients only see an average of an entire market whereas the seller uses a more intimate knowledge of a particular item. The argument put across by Akerlof is that information asymmetry gives the seller a great opportunity to sell his/her products or services of less than the average market quality (Parrenas, 2005). The average quality of a product or a service in a market will then decrease and so will the size of the market. There is available
information for each agent. However, there is a strong information asymmetry between the managers and the investors of the firm (Akkizidis&Khandelwal, 2008).

This theory explains a condition where all parties in an undertaking are not aware of the available relevant information (Eppy, 2005). Stiglitz (2001) indicates competitive behavior in such markets involves inter-temporal linkages. The theory points out two problems associated with the perceived information asymmetry for to financial institution. That is the adverse selection and moral hazard. The theory affirms that, if commercial financial institutions can exchange their client’s information especially on clients' creditworthiness, which can lower loan repayment rate (Weinberg, 2006).

A reduction in information asymmetry between clients and lenders, credit reference bureaus will be able to develop credit risk management practices such credit rating and thus financial institutions extend loans to creditworthy borrowers resulting in higher aggregate lending and low default rates.

2.2.3 The Adverse Selection Theory
The adverse selection theory emanated from Stiglitz and Weiss (1981). Karlan and Zinman, (2004) notes that the adverse selection occurs when client’s or borrowers of the financial institution have features or characteristics which are not observable by the financial institution when lending and these unobservable features have the potential of leading loan repayment default hence affecting the financial institution’s profitability negatively. The theory assumes that: lenders will be unable to distinguish between financial institutions loan clients of different risk degrees and that all the contracts of the financial institution loans offered to borrowers are all subject to a limited liability (Berhanu, 2005).

The adverse selection theory describes the situation of a financial institution that cannot distinguish the safe borrowers from risky. In this theory, the financial institution which is the lender in this case has inadequate information about the loan customers. Riskier loan clients ought to be charged a higher rate of interest so as to act as a compensation for an increased default risk than the safer loan clients whose changes of defaulting are very low. Accordingly, safer loan clients ought to be charged a little bit less provided they can be identified accurately from the rest of loan clients or borrowers. Since financial institutions as the lender does not have complete borrowers risk profile information, as such, high average interest rates are normally passed on to all loan clients without considering differences in
their risk profile (Armendariz&Morduch, 2010). To mitigate adverse selection problems, credit providers take their loan applicants through an elaborate screening procedure before granting a loan however, this has been able to reduce loan default in commercial financial institutions.

2.2.4 Portfolio Theory
The Portfolio Theory often described as modern portfolio theory. For a long time financial institutions have been faced with credit defaults. Having been pioneered by Harry Markowitz in 1952, the Modern portfolio theory is widely used in the financial institutioning sector as well as the MFIs. Most of the MFIs are using the value at risk along with portfolio at risk to handle exposure brought about by interest rate and market dynamics.

This theory lets investors assess the expected risk and return in their investment portfolios (Wong, 2013). MPT is a refined investment approach that has turned out to be concept financial institutions and investors build their asset portfolios. Markowitz quantified exposure and demonstrated quantitatively the reduction of risk by portfolio diversification thus increasing return on investment for investors. Modern Portfolio Theory allows investors to project the risk exposure that they face as well as the expected return by using a statistical measure for their asset portfolios. Markowitz (1952) illustrated on how to merge assets to come up with efficiently diversified portfolios. This theory established that most investors were unsuccessful in accounting appropriately the lofty correlation between security incomes. The theory suggests that a portfolio’s exposure can be abridged and the projected rate of return amplified, whilst securities with divergent value actions are pooled together. Markowitz concluded that diversification decreases exposure if securities are pooled together, and the prices of these securities shift inversely, or at dissimilar period, in regards to each other.

This theory helps in examining the relationship between Portfolio at risk and financial stability and performance. It considers how diversification of financial products minimizes credit risk hence improving on financial performance. Margrabe (2007) hypothesizes that despite the fact that credit chance remains the biggest hazard confronting most business monetary organizations, the act of applying present day portfolio hypothesis to credit chance has slacked. Kairu (2009), claims that organizations perceive how credit focuses can unfavorably affect budgetary execution. Thus, various organizations are currently seeking after quantitative ways to deal with credit hazard estimation. This industry is likewise gaining critical ground toward creating apparatuses that measure credit hazard in a portfolio
setting. They are additionally utilizing credit subsidiaries to exchange chance effectively while safeguarding client connections. Portfolio quality proportions and profitability markers have been adjusted. The mix of these advancements has incomprehensibly quickened advance in overseeing credit chance in a portfolio setting.

Traditionally, organizations have taken an asset-by-asset approach to mitigation of credit risk. While each company's method varies, in general this approach involves periodically evaluating the quality of credit exposures, applying a credit risk rating, and aggregating the results of this analysis to identify a portfolio's expected losses. The foundation of asset-by-asset approach is a sound credit review and internal credit risk rating system. This system enables management to identify changes in individual credits, or portfolio trends in a timely manner. Based on the changes identified, credit identification, credit review, and credit risk rating system management can make necessary modifications to portfolio strategies or increase the supervision of credits in a timely manner. While the asset-by-asset approach is a critical component to managing credit risk, it does not provide a complete view of portfolio credit risk, where the term risk refers to the possibility that actual losses exceed expected losses. Therefore, to gain greater insight into credit risk, companies increasingly look to complement the asset-by-asset approach with a quantitative portfolio review using a credit model (Mason & Roger, 1998). Companies increasingly attempt to address the inability of the asset-by-asset approach to measure unexpected losses sufficiently by pursuing a portfolio approach. One weakness with the asset-by-asset approach is that it has difficulty identifying and measuring concentration. Concentration risk refers to additional portfolio risk resulting from increased exposure to credit extension, or to a group of correlated creditors (Richardson, 2002).

2.3 Empirical Review

2.2.1 Introduction

According to Kithinji (2010); micro-finance institutions have approved decisions that are not well examined, there has been cases of loan defaults and non performing loans, massive extension of credit and directed lending. Policies to minimize on the negative effects have focused on mergers in financial institutions, better financial institutioning practices but stringent lending, review of laws to be in line with the global standards, well capitalized financial institutions which are expected to be profitable, liquid financial institutions that are
able to meet the demands of their depositors, and maintenance of required cash levels with the central financial institution which means less cash is available for lending.

This has led to reduced interest income for the financial institutions and by extension reduction in profits, the financial institutions also concentrate highly on collateral as the main security for loans which at times makes the financial institutions assume other forms of mitigating risk. Donald et al (1996) defines Credit risk simply as the potential that a financial institution borrower or counterpart will fail to meet its obligations in accordance with agreed terms. The goal of credit risk management is to maximize a financial institution's risk-adjusted rate of return by maintaining credit risk exposure within acceptable parameters.

Financial institutions need to manage the credit risk inherent in the entire portfolio as well as the risk in individual credits or transactions, i.e. consider the relationships between credit risk and other risks. The effective management of credit risk is a critical component of a comprehensive approach to risk management and essential to the long-term success of any financial institutioning organization.

According to Nelson and Schwedt (2006), the financial institutioning industry has made strides in managing credit risk. Until the early 1990s, the analysis of credit risk was generally limited to reviews of individual loans, and financial institutions kept most loans on their books to maturity. Today, credit risk management encompasses both loans reviews and portfolio analysis. Moreover, the development of new technologies for buying and selling risks has allowed many financial institutions to move away from the traditional book and hold lending practice in favor of a more active strategy that seeks the best mix of assets in light of the prevailing credit environment, market conditions, and business opportunities. Much more so than in the past, financial institutions today are able to manage and control obligor and portfolio concentrations, maturities, and loan sizes, and to address and even eliminate problem assets before they create losses. Many financial institutions also stress test their portfolios on a business line basis to help inform their overall management.

2.2.2 Risk Identification

Risk identification is vital for effective mitigation of credit risk. In order to manage credit risks effectively, management of financial institution have to know what risks face the financial institution. The important thing during risk identification is not to miss any risks out and this can be done through establishing an appropriate credit risk environment
(Kromschroder & Luck, 1998). This is the responsibility of the board of directors who should approve and periodically (at least annually) review the credit risk strategy and significant credit risk policies of the financial institution.

The strategy should reflect the financial institution's tolerance for risk and the level of profitability the financial institution expects to achieve for incurring credit risk. Inspection by branch managers and financial statement analysis are the main methods used in risk identification. The main techniques used in risk management are establishing standards, credit worthiness analysis, risk rating and collateral. Senior management of a financial institution is responsible for implementing the credit risk strategy approved by the board of directors. This includes ensuring that the financial institution's credit-granting activities conform to the established strategy, that written procedures are developed and implemented, and that loan approval and review responsibilities are clearly and properly assigned.

Senior management must also ensure that there is a periodic independent internal assessment of the financial institutions credit-granting and management functions. The main function of the risk manager is to monitor, measure and control credit risk. The Risk Manager's duty includes identification of possible events or future changes that could have a negative impact on the institution's credit portfolio and the financial institution's ability to withstand the changes. Effective risk management requires a reporting and review structure to ensure that risks are effectively identified and assessed and that appropriate controls and responses are in place.

Risk monitoring can be used to make sure that risk management practices are in line and proper, risk monitoring also helps financial institution management to discover mistake at early stage, (Al- Tamimi & Al-Mazrooei, 2007). Monitoring is the last step in the corporate risk management process, (Pausenberger & Nassauer, 2002). According to Parrenas (2005), the shareholders of the institutions can use their rights to demand information in order to judge the efficiency of the risk management system. The director's report enables the shareholders to assess the status of the corporation knowledgeably and thoroughly.

In many firms, fancy value-risk models, are up and running. But, in many more cases, they are still in the implementation phase. In the interim, simple ad hoc limits and close monitoring substitute for elaborate real time systems. While this may be completely satisfactory for institutions that have little trading activity and work primarily on behalf of
clients, the absence of adequate trading systems elsewhere in the industry is a bit distressing. There are three stages in the credit risk monitoring process, namely; the simple risk control of the business avoiding being over concentrated in any one sector, estimating the probability of defaulting and assessing recovery, the link between economic capital and return. Clearly financial institutions would like to set minimum rates of return they expect to earn on their portfolios after provisioning. The link between economic profit and risk is the next stage in advancing the practice of credit risk management and risk management is used as a strategic management tool to align RAROC (Risk Adjustment Returns on Capital) with ROE (Return on Equity). Each financial institution must understand what drives the share price of the financial institution and thus must understand the link between economic capital, intellectual property owners IPOs (Intellectual Property Owners) and ROE.

Clear established process for approving new credits and extending the existing credits has been observed to be very important while managing Credit Risks in financial institutions, says Heffernan (1996). Further, monitoring of borrowers is very important as current and potential exposures change with both the passage of time and the movements in the underlying variables, says Mwisho (2001). According to Derbanet al. (2005), Monitoring involves, among others, frequent contact with borrowers, creating an environment that the financial institution can be seen as a solver of problems and trusted adviser; develop the culture of being supportive to borrowers whenever they are recognized to be in difficulties and are striving to deal with the situation; monitoring the flow of borrower’s business through the financial institution’s account; regular review of the borrower’s reports as well as an on-site visit; updating borrowers credit files and periodically reviewing the borrowers rating assigned at the time the credit was granted. Financial institutions must have in place written guidelines on the credit approval process and the approval authorities of individuals or committees as well as the basis of those decisions. Approval authorities should be sanctioned by the board of directors.

Approval authorities will cover new credit approvals, renewals of existing credits, and changes in terms and conditions of previously approved credits, particularly credit restructuring, all of which should be fully documented and recorded. Prudent credit practice requires that persons empowered with the credit approval authority should not also have the customer relationship responsibility. Approval authorities of individuals should be commensurate to their positions within management ranks as well as their expertise, says Mwisho (2001).
2.2.2.1 Relationship between credit risk and profitability
The underlying causes of the global financial crisis are reflected on both of the main financial decisions: investment and financing. On the investment or asset side, security investors’ apparent overreliance on credit ratings led them to make poor choices and buy overvalued assets, consisting of mortgage-backed securities. On the financing or liability side, financial institutions as sellers of these securities, backed by cash collections from mortgage loans, suffered losses due to excessive risk taking by lending money to people with insufficient ability to repay (Gurriá, 2008).

This increase in financial institution’s liabilities or leverage, if large and lasting enough, will trigger a financial crisis. This trend has been observed in periods of financial crises, such as the second quarter of 1987, the third quarter of 1998, and, most recently, the third quarter of 2008. This is complicated by having long-term assets in balance sheets, such as mortgage loans, being financed by short-term liabilities. Consequently, financial institutions are obliged to pay cash before asset driven cash collections occur, increasing their illiquidity (Adrian & Shin, 2009). Financial institution liquidity management involves a tradeoff between the cost of attaining higher liquidity and the cost of inefficient allocation of such liquidity.

Providing financial services across borders helps to transfer liquidity to those locations where it is scarce, but at the cost of probable inefficient fund allocation. These inefficiencies may arise from not having enough cash to finance the maximum possible number of positive net present value, thereby, resulting in lessened opportunities for shareholder value creation (Dietrich & Vollmer, 2010). An important source for financial institution liquidity is the timely collection of loans and their corresponding interest income. This implies minimizing default loan rates. By the summer of 2008, high default loan rates seriously affected loan collections, and this lessened financial institutions’ ability to lend, and, thus, increasing the cost of borrowing. While this phenomenon largely occurred in the United States, the fact that the mortgage-backed securities were sold in other markets caused a domino effect which pulled down the global economy through its effect on European financial institutions (Gurriá, 2008). The 2008 global financial crisis is the major turmoil affecting financial markets since the 1990’s when individual countries, such as Argentina, Brazil, Russia, Thailand, Turkey, and the East Asia region faced financial problems (Diamond & Rajan, 2009; Lewis, Kay, Kelso, & Larson, 2010). Brunnermeier (2009) mentions the sharp decrease in housing prices and the resulting increase in mortgage loan defaults in 2007 as the major cause of a liquidity
crisis that became a global crisis in 2008. During the period before the years leading to the most recent financial crisis, the United States faced a rising trend in financial institutioning consolidation, or decrease in number of financial institutions (Heiney, 2010).

According to Heiney (2010), during the years 2000 to 2005, there was an increase in ROA and a decrease in ROE for financial institutions in the United States. According to the results of a survey made to financial institution senior managers, the three risk factors that most contributed to the financial crisis were inappropriate risk governance, weak risk culture, and ineffective incentive and remuneration policies (Hashagen, Harman, Conover, & Sharma, 2009). Risk governance is necessary for limiting excessive risk taking, while financial institutions should develop a strong risk culture through the encouragement of an assessment, measurement, and mitigation mindset of financial institution employees, at all levels, in the organizational hierarchy. In addition, incentive and remuneration policies should reward managers with strong performance, based on attainment of longterm goals and financial institution shareholder wealth maximization.

If proper behavior is rewarded, it will be in the managers’ best interest to not make decisions causing financial institution risk to rise to intolerable levels, resulting in decreased share values when lacking high enough returns (Junarsin & Ismiyanti, 2009). Diminished shareholder value would be an adverse effect of the separation of ownership (shareholders) and control (managers) that gives rise to agency problems (Gong, 2011).

In a study about chief executive officer compensation and tenure in United States commercial financial institutions, there was a positive relationship between both, compensation and tenure, and financial performance (Crumley, 2008). Gong (2011) used two different shareholder value measures – one based on change of market capitalization; another one, on cumulative abnormal stock returns estimated through the Capital Asset Pricing Model. Assessing the cumulative changes in shareholder value over average chief executive officer tenure, the study’s findings showed a positive relationship between chief executive officer compensation and shareholder value and between tenure and shareholder value (Gong, 2011). These results are consistent with those of Crumley (2008).

Not having financial institution manager goals aligned with shareholder goals is commonly known as the agency problem. This occurs due to managers, acting as agents, to represent the interests of shareholders, who are the principals. The agency problem is larger when ownership is diffuse or a large number of shareholders contribute a relatively small share to
financial institution capital. Higher costs of monitoring agents’ actions result in higher moral hazard, the likelihood of poor financial decision making with the objective of improving the managers’ wealth, at the expense of shareholders (Junarsin & Ismiyanti, 2009).

Using Hong Kong during the East Asia 1997-1998 crisis as an example of firms’ reactions under financial difficulties, Leung and Horwitz (2010) found a positive relationship between ownership of shares by company insiders and financial performance. The resulting merge of ownership and control, through shareholder-managers (company insiders), could contribute to enhanced performance by having managers benefit from decisions yielding increased shareholder value. Consequently, agency costs would be diminished. Shareholder value depends on several factors including, among others, profitability and liquidity. While financial institution profitability, by itself, does not necessarily lead to increased shareholder values, continued unprofitability will, at one time or another, impair liquidity in such a way as to decrease share values. The total debt to equity ratio is one of the determinants of financial institution profitability. For lower debt to equity ratios, higher debt financing may motivate managerial behavior resulting in decreased agency costs, and consequently, higher profitability. However, if debt levels reach a high enough level, implying that equity financing is too low, there is no incentive for managers to act for shareholders’ benefit and there will also be increased costs related to debt financing, due to higher likelihood of financial institution bankruptcy. At these excessive debt levels, total debt to equity may be negatively related to profitability (Paolo, 2011).

However, the findings of several studies suggest a positive relationship between higher debt levels and credit risk and a positive or insignificant relationship between higher debt levels and profitability. Using a regulatory asset risk based measure for credit risk and an indirect measure for debt to equity (based on equity to risk based assets, where higher equity is equivalent to lower debt levels), Salah and Fedhila (2012) found a negative relationship between equity levels and credit risk. Corcoran (2010) obtained similar results. Furthermore, Hsieh and Lee, (2010) uncovered a negative association between equity to assets and profitability. In turn, Gill, Biger, and Mathur (2011) found no significant relationship between long-term debt to total assets and profitability for a group of companies in service industries. Debt and equity are the two main sources of capital for financing investment and operating activities.
Thus, a negative relationship between equity and credit risk implies that higher debt levels are associated with higher credit risk. Higher credit risk may lead to lower profitability due to a greater likelihood of uncollectible amounts owed by financial institution clients. In regards to corporate governance, financial institutions should have a system of rules, procedures, and regulations to ensure that agency costs, or costs of minimizing the agency problem and, consequently, moral hazard, are as low as possible, as a way to maintain and increase shareholder value. If proper corporate governance systems are in place, they help to reduce the risk of future crises. However, Goddard, Molyneux, and Wilson (2009) claim that government intervention to aid in the financial stability of troubled financial institutions send the wrong signal by allowing for imprudent behavior to occur, in the expectation that, ultimately, the government will approve financial aid to financial institutions if they fail. Examples of government financial aid include the recapitalization of financial institutions and the purchase of impaired assets to improve liquidity in the financial institutioning system (Goddard, Molyneux, & Wilson, 2009). These measures are implemented with the goal of achieving financial system stabilization in times of financial crisis. Lessons learned from successful corporate governance practices during financial crises should serve to identify the characteristics that make financial institutions more resilient, or capable of withstanding them. Contrary to financial institutions in Germany, Netherlands, United Kingdom, and United States, Australian financial institutions did not have to be rescued or “bailed-out” by their respective governments in order to maintain a stable financial institutioning system. Through these bail-outs, governments provided additional capital to finance financial institution assets and operations and prevent them from becoming insolvent and financial institutionrupt.

In the extreme case of Iceland, it was necessary to nationalize financial institutions which implied full transfer of control to the government (Hawtrey, 2009). One outstanding feature of the Australian financial institutioning system was the low level of nonperforming loans, which are those with payments in arrears. It did not face the great liquidity problems that arose elsewhere, and even though financial institution share prices declined due to the crisis, these decreases were not as large as those of their counterparts’ in other countries (Hawtrey, 2009).

Hawtrey (2009) argues that there are several explanations for this resilience. All of them have a common theme: better financial institution corporate governance. One explanation for financial institution resilience is a higher loan quality due to responsible lending practices. This, in turn, is a result of boards of directors and executives’ prudence in lending decisions.
and avoidance of low quality debt securities. The predominance of the lower risk intermediation model (acceptance of deposits and approval of loans) over the higher risk securitization model (approval of mortgage loans and sale of securities backed by loan collections) has been advantageous to Australia. The stress on lending growth in relation to securitization allowed for higher long-term profitability. This benefit was complemented by a greater emphasis on prime lending – low risk financial institution borrowers – as opposed to subprime lending – high risk borrowers (Hawtrey, 2009).

Even though prime lending has lower credit or default risk, Australian financial institutions were better prepared by ensuring higher provision or reserve for loan losses, which, in turn, was greater than impaired loans (those with a high likelihood of default). Another strength related to financial institution capital (funds provided by shareholders and financial institution operations instead of depositors and other creditors) being superior to minimum amounts, based on asset risk (Hawtrey, 2009). Diversity and stability of sources of funds is essential to ensure their availability at not too high costs. This entailed not depending mostly on short-term and local sources, but relying more on long-term and global sources, when compared to financial institutions in other countries (Hawtrey, 2009). Australian financial institutions also resisted the temptation to focus on a short-term instead of a long-term horizon.

A longer term focus avoids these for rapid earnings and share prices’ growth fueled by high risk decision making. Proper risk management was also enhanced by high quality of financial institution supervision through effectiveness of financial system regulation (Hawtrey, 2009). Policy recommendations have been made to improve financial institution system resilience. First, there should be risk management reforms. Corporate governance risk management reforms must ensure that excessiverisk taking does not occur, business transactions are fair for involved parties, and consumers are well protected. There must also be greater transparency and accountability among corporate boards and supervision of financial securities, particular those of an innovative complex nature (Araneta, Calderon, Kabigting, Hapitan, Lim, Romagos, & Wee Sit, 2009).

According to a report by the World Financial institution, financial institution governance’s failures in four areas were responsible for the financial crisis. These areas are risk governance; remuneration and incentive structures; board composition, qualifications, and independence; and shareholder engagement (The World Financial institution Group, 2010). The definition for risk governance is “board and management oversight of risk
and the attendant configuration of internal systems for identifying, measuring, managing, and reporting risk (The World Financial institution Group, 2010: 2)”. Risk governance problems arose out of risk information not being timely received by board of directors. There was also an absence of adequate planning for liquidity and funding, on the part of managers. Consequently, managers were also unaware of rising risks to be able to take prompt action before severe declines in asset values and unavailability of market sources of funds (The World Financial institution Group, 2010). Incentive structures were ineffective due to short-term rewards in the form of bonuses being paid for dealings in risky securities. These structures should instead have aligned managerial goals with multi period stability, in mind. This would motivate managerial behavior focusing on long term results rather than short-term self-profits from bonuses, obtained by bearing too much risk (The World Financial institution Group, 2010).

Regarding board composition, there were not enough executive board members. Consequently, the chief executive officers were powerful enough to enable them to not be held accountable for poor decision making. This problem is compounded when the chief executive officer is also the chairman of the board of directors, as usually occurs in major public financial institutions from the United States. In addition, lack of technical risk management expertise, skills, and experience of unqualified directors can complicate this matter. While the presence of non-executive directors is necessary to improve board independence, chief executive officers’ terms were often longer than those of non-executive directors. The relatively high turnover of this group of directors may serve to reinforce the power of chief executive officers with the ensuing high likelihood of adverse consequences of too much power being in the hands of a single person (The World Financial institution Group, 2010). Shareholder engagement was not active enough to prevent these excesses. Shareholder activism, particularly that of large institutional investors, requires that they exercise their voting rights and monitor managers and board members to reward them for good governance practices (The World Financial institution Group, 2010).

2.2.2.2 Credit Risk Management

Credit risk management is defined as identification, measurement, monitoring and control of risk arising from the possibility of default in loan repayments (Early, 1996: Coyle, 2000). Credit extended to borrowers may be at the risk of default such that whereas financial institutions extend credit on the understanding that borrowers will repay their loans, some borrowers usually default and as a result, financial institutions income decrease due to the
need to provision for the loans. Where commercial financial institutions do not have an indication of what proportion of their borrowers will default, earnings will vary thus exposing the financial institutions to an additional risk of variability of their profits. Every financial institution bears a degree of risk when the institution lends to business and consumers and hence experiences some loan losses when certain borrowers fail to repay their loans as agreed. Principally, the credit risk of a financial institution is the possibility of loss arising from non-repayment of interest and the principle, or both, or non-realization of securities on the loans.

Risks exposed to commercial financial institutions threaten a crisis not only in the financial institutions but to the financial market as a whole and credit risk is one of the threats to soundness of commercial financial institutions. To minimize credit risk, financial institutions are encouraged to use the “know your customer” principle as expounded by the Basel Committee on Financial institutioningSupervision (Kunt-Demirguc and Detragiache, 1997; Parry. 1999; Kane and Rice, 1998). Subjective decision-making by the management of financial institutions may lead to extending credit to business enterprises they own or with which they are affiliated, to personal friends, to persons with a reputation for non-financial acumen or to meet a personal agenda, such as cultivating special relationship with celebrities or well connected individuals. A solution to this may be the use of tested lending techniques and especially quantitative ones, which filter out subjectivity (Griffith and Persuad. 2002).

The key principles in credit risk management are: firstly, establishment of a clear structure, allocation of responsibility and accountability, processes have to be prioritized and disciplined, responsibilities should be clearly communicated and accountability assigned thereto (Lindergren, 1987). According to Demirguc-Khunt and Huizinga (1999), the overwhelming concern on financial institution credit risk management is two-fold. First, the Newtonian reaction against financial institution losses, a realization that after the losses have occurred that the losses are unbearable. Secondly, recent development in the field of financing commercial paper, securitization, and other non-financial institution competition have pushed financial institutions to find viable loan borrowers. This has seen large and stable companies shifting to open market sources of finance like bond market. Organizing and managing the lending function in a highly professional manner and doing so pro-actively can minimize whatever the degree of risk assumed losses. Financial institutions can tap increasingly sophisticated measuring techniques in approaching risk management issues (Gill, 1989).
Cooper et al. (2003) found that changes in credit risks may reflect changes in the health of a financial institution’s loan portfolio which may in turn affect the financial institution’s performance. Duca and McLaughlin (1990) found that the variation in financial institution profitability are largely attributable to variations in credit risk, since increased exposure to credit risk is normally associated with decreased firm profitability. Further research by Miller and Noulas (1997) found that there is a negative relationship between the credit risk and financial institution profitability, meaning that the more the financial institutions were exposed to high-risks loans, the higher the accumulation of non-performing loans and, therefore, the lower the profitability.

2.2.2.3 Financial Performance
Financial performance is a subjective measure of how well a financial institution can use assets from its primary mode of business and generate revenues. This term is also used as a general measure of a firm's overall financial health over a given period of time, and can be used to compare similar firms across the same industry or to compare industries or sectors in aggregation. Generally, the financial performance of financial institutions and other financial institutions has been measured using a combination of financial ratios analysis, benchmarking, measuring performance against budget or a mix of these methodologies (Avkiran, 1995).

Financial institutions, as the critical part of financial system, play an important role in contributing to a country’s economic development. If the financial institutioning industry does not perform well, the effect to the economy could be huge and broad. From their empirical findings, Demirguc- Kunt and Detragiache (1999) suggested that financial institution profitability is an important predictor of financial crises. Therefore, the study of the determinants of the financial institution profitability becomes an important issue which could help financial institutions understand the current conditions of the financial institutioning industry they are involved in and the critical factors they should consider in making decisions and creating new policies either for recovery or improvement. Studies on performance of financial institutioning institutions are plenty. Results of these studies strongly suggest that financial institution profitability determinants vary across countries and also among regions of the world (e.g. Doliente, 2003).

In accordance with the study of Waymond (2007), profitability ratios are often used in a high esteem as the indicators of credit analysis in financial institutions, since profitability is
associated with the results of management performance. ROE and ROA are the most commonly used ratios, and the quality level of ROE is between 15% and 30%, for ROA is at least 1%. ROE as an important indicator to measure the profitability of the financial institutions has been discussed extensively in the prior studies. Foong (2008) indicated that the efficiency of financial institutions can be measured by using the ROE which illustrates to what extent financial institutions use reinvested income to generate future profits. According to Riksfinancial institution’s Financial Stability Report (2002), the measurement of connecting profit to shareholder's equity is normally used to define the profitability in the financial institutions.

Furthermore, the paper “Why Return on Equity is a Useful Criterion for Equity Selection” (Jensen Investment Management. 2008) mentioned that ROE provides a very useful gauge of profit generating efficiency because it measures how much earnings a company can get on the equity capital. ROE is defined as the company's annual net income after tax divided by shareholder's equity. NI is the amount of earnings after paying all expenses and taxes. Equity represents the capital invested in the company plus the retained earnings. Essentially, ROE indicates the amount of earnings generated from equity. The increased ROE may hint that the profit is growing without pouring new capital into the company. A steadily rising ROE also refers that the shareholders are given more each year for their investment. All in all, the higher ROE is better both for the company and the shareholders. (Jensen Investment Management, 2008). In addition, ROE takes the retained earnings from the previous periods into account and tells the investors how efficiently the capital is reinvested.

The study of Joetta (2007) presented the purpose of ROE as the measurement of the amount of profit generated by the equity in the firm. It is also mentioned that the ROE is an indicator of the efficiency to generate profit from equity. This capability is connected to how well the assets are utilized to produce the profits as well. The effectiveness of assets utilization is significantly tied to the amount of assets that the company generates for each dollar of equity.

2.2.2.4 Effect of Credit Risk Management on Financial Performance

Lymon and Carles (1978) defined credit risk management as a process of decision making which involves minimizing losses from both bad debts and costs of debt operation while maximizing the value of credit sales. Also Pandey (1995) defines Credit Risk Management to involve the process of making decisions relating to the investment of funds. Such decisions should be carefully analyzed as they are characterized by an element of uncertainty. Bessis
(1998) defines financial performance as a management initiative to upgrade the accuracy and timeliness of financial information to meet required standards while supporting day to day operations. Lymon and Carles (1978) also defined it as the operational strength of a firm in relation to its revenue and expenditure as revealed by its financial statements. In any organization especially commercial financial institutions, financial performance is affected by credit risk.

The role of financial institution remains central in financing economic activity and its effectiveness could exert positive impact on overall economy as a sound and profitable financial institutioning sector is better able to withstand negative shocks and contribute to the stability of the financial system (Athanasoglou et al, 2005). Therefore, the determinants of financial institution performance have attracted the interest of academic research as well as of financial institution management, financial markets and financial institution supervisors since the knowledge of the internal and external determinants of financial institutions profits and margins is essential for various parties.

Achou and Tenguh (2008) shows that there is a significant relationship between financial institution performance (in terms of return on asset) and credit risk management (in terms of loan performance). Better credit risk management results in better financial institution performance. Thus, it is of crucial importance that financial institutions practice prudent credit risk management and safeguarding the assets of the financial institutions and protect the investors' interests.

According to Van Horne (1995), the firm’s credit policies are the chief influences on the level of debtors, measuring the manager's position to invest optimally in its debtors and be able to trade profitably with increased revenue. Pandley (1995) pointed out that credit policy defines a firms’ performance, meaning that once a firm adopts an optimal credit policy, it will be able to maximize its investment revenue in debtors and this improves and promotes its financial standing and performance therefore a good credit policy decision is positively related to high financial performance.

2.3.1 The effects of credit risk mitigation strategies on profitability

Many scholars use the Return of Assets (ROA) or Return on Equity (ROE) as a measure of MFIs or financial institutions’ profitability (Rosenberg 2009; Ogboi and Unuafe 2013; Aemiro and Mekonnen 2012; Naveen et al 2012). Moreover, they use
Non Performing Loans (NPL) ratio as the measure of credits risks management. The ROE is obtained by dividing net income (after taxes and excluding any grants or donations) by average equity over a certain period of time while ROA is calculated by dividing net income (after taxes and excluding any grants or donations) by average assets over a certain period of time (Rosenberg 2009; Mata 2010). Most authors argue that ROA/ROE and NPL are strongly correlated in many cases the negative relationship between ROA/ROE and NPL ratio is revealed. However, some few scholars revealed the positive correlation between ROA and credits risks management where most of authors focused their studies on financial institutions.

For instance, in Pakistan, a study conducted by Ariffin and Kassim (2013) revealed the positive and strong correlations between ROA and all risk management practices in Malaysian Islamic financial institutions while Kaaya and Pastory (2013) found out that negative correlation between financial institution performance and credits risks management in Tanzania, among many scholars who noted the same. Hence theoretically if NPL affects financial institutions’ profitability, they can affect Financial Institutions’ profitability too. Global economic crises also might have negative impacts on MFIs profitability.

In another study, Aemiro and Mekonnen (2012) studied the financial performance of Amhara Credit and Saving Institution (ACSI) in Ethiopian MFIs during the 2007-2009 financial crisis and they revealed that when the gross loan portfolio declined by 15.73% in the year 2009, also ROA and ROE declined due to loss of financial revenue. Moreover, the portfolio at risk rose during 2008 and 2009 indicating deterioration of portfolio quality. Similarly, Seibel and Thac (2012) assessed the impacts of 2008 global economic crises on how the rural People’s Credit Funds (PCFs) and the Central People’s Credit Fund (CCF) in Vietnam. Their study revealed that from 2007 to 2008 ROE for both PCFs and CCF declined because people worried about the future. The study revealed that ROE for CCF fell from 14% to 11% because they applied conservative provisioning policy and they also experienced stronger competition which forced them to lower their deposit interest rates and this automatically decreased the earnings. However, the study noted that members honored their repayment obligations and the overdue ratios were constant at a level near zero.
High costs of operation and high loans interest rates might affect profitability of MFIs and sometimes increase default risks for loans. Roberts (2013) found out that a stronger for-profit orientation corresponds with higher interest rates for MFI clients. However, this does not contribute to greater profitability and therefore sustainability of MFIs because the stronger profit orientation is also associated with higher MFI costs. Krahnen and Schmidt (2008) affirmed that handling a larger credit portfolio also produces additional costs of credit unions which have to be covered by a corresponding increase in interest income, implying that large credit portfolio might lower rural Financial Institutions’ profitability. Also large portfolio poses high risk of credits default.

Kinde (2012) revealed that MFIs profitability in Ethiopia is associated with higher loan sizes. The larger loans were associated with higher cost efficiency and hence profitability. Mata (2010) used the return on equity (ROE) and the return on assets (ROA) to assess the managerial performance and 225MFIs’ profitability from Latin America and the Caribbean. The study revealed large differences in managerial performances where ROA and ROE ranged from -36% to 25% and -226% to 64% while the average ROA and ROE were 3.24% and 1.14% respectively.

Few studies focus partially the influence of credits risk management on the performance or profitability of Financial Institutions in East Africa. For instance, Odhiambo (2012) studied how the governance problem affects the Financial Institutions in Kenya and he found out that board members’ face the problem of the conflict of interests where some members are interested by low interest loans than the financial viability or profitability of the Financial Institutions.

The study revealed that some Financial Institutions’ members may seek posts in the board or credit committee by promising that will issue cheap loans after being elected and this might pose higher risk of credits’ default. Matumo et al (2013) assessed how front office services activities influence the profitability of Financial Institutions in Kenya and they found out that Financial Institutions in Kenya improved their ROA from 13.6%, to 25.3% after allowing non members to operate savings accounts in Financial Institutions.

The study affirmed that front office operations activities promoted the volume of Financial Institutions’ transactions, thus improved the revenue of the Financial
Institutions in Kenya. It is assumed that the revenues for those Financial Institutions improved because of the effective credit risks management techniques.

2.3.2 Debt Recovery policies and profitability
Credit Policy can be viewed as written guidelines that set the terms and conditions for supplying goods on credit, customer qualification criteria, procedure for making collections, and steps to be taken in case of customer delinquency. This term can also be refers to as collection policy. It is also the guidelines that spell out how to decide which customers are sold on open account, the exact payment terms, the limits set on outstanding balances and how to deal with delinquent accounts.

A company's credit policy refers to the actions taken by a business to grant, monitor, and collect the cash for outstanding accounts receivable (Maysami, 2010). The credit policy of a typical organization contains the following variables: collection policy, cash discount, credit period and credit standard, while Miller (2008), classified it as credit limits, credit term, deposits, customer information and documentation. And each of the components of a company's credit policy is used as a tool for monitoring account receivables which is the outcome of credit sales; it covers from the kind of customers that credit may be extended to when actual collections would be made.

In a study carried out by Saunders, (2012) in the United States, the findings indicated that debt recovery policies largely affected the profitability of microfinance institutions. He looked at various microfinance institutions in the country and also investigated the policies that were being used in debt collection.

Pandey (2004), bad debt losses arise when the firm is unable to collect its accounts receivable. The size of bad debt losses depends on the quality of accounts accepted by the firm. In the words of Uchegbu (2001), it is wise to discourage bad debts and efforts should be made to encourage discount more importantly cash discount.

Donald and Penne (1987), debtors or accounts receivable in a firm are claims held against others in the operating circle. Trade debtors are further classified into trade debtors and non-trade debtors. The amount which is owed by customers for goods and services sold in the course of carrying on a business is termed trade debtors while on the other hand any amount owed by customers arising from a variety of transitions that
are oral or written promises to pay other than goods at a later date is called non-trade debtors.

The recent economic crisis has focused attention on risk management, but managing risk is all about achieving objectives (Woods, Kajüter, and Linsley, 2008; Vander Stede, 2009). Senior managers in particular, are expected to build sustainable performances: create value at acceptable risk levels over time (Calandro and Lane, 2006). To this end, they should be clearly aware of the multiple sources and types of risks (CIMA, 2007). A stronger focus on risk in performance reports addressed to senior managers can address such expectation. Incorporating risk into performance management processes can foster a better understanding of the overall organizational risk exposure and improve business results.

2.3.3 Loan Appraisal Procedures and Profitability

Threesourcesofcostadvantagewereclassifiedby PetersenandRajan(1997)asfollows: informationacquisition,controllingthebuyerandsalvaging valuefromexisting assets. The firstsourceofcostadvantagecanbeexplainedby thefactthatsellerscangetinformation aboutbuyersfaster andatlowercostbecauseitisobtainedinthenormalcourse ofbusiness. Thatis, thefrequencyandtheamountofthebuyer’s ordersgivesuppliersanideaofthe client’ssituation; thebuyer’s rejectionofdiscountsforearlypaymentmayserveaalertthe supplierofa weakeningin thecredit-worthiness ofthebuyer, and sellersusuallyvisit customers moreoften than financial institutions do.

Theglobaleconomicmeltdowncausedby thesubprimemortgagecrisis intheUSin2007 and its adverseeffectonfinancialmarketsandparticipantsinthefinancialindustryworldwidehastriggered a capitalmanagementcrisisin mostfinancial institutions, especiallycommercialfinancial institutions. Inmarket- based countrieswherecapitalmarketdominates economicactivities, financial institutionshavesuffereda severe shockintheircapitalalandliquiditystatusduetotheunanticipateddownturninthefinancialmarket andacreditcrunch experiencein the financial industry. Thismadeanumberof financial institutionsgoilliquid and someevencloseddownoperations (Omotola, Roya&Safoura 2012). Itis acommonpractice that profit-maximising firms, including financial institutions, consider operational miscalculation which could be a result of macroeconomic risks, such as the effect of interest rates, inflation or even business cyclical.
Further, microeconomic risks such as new competitive threats are inevitable and should be dealt with adequately. Financial institution-wide issues such as technological failures, commercial inefficiency of a supplier or customer, political manipulation, X-inefficiency and natural disaster are possible risks faced by financial institutions and other financial institutions. In addition, the debacle in the financial and non-financial sector is a result of the contagious sub-prime crisis indicates a strong need for risk management. According to Pyle (1997), financial misadventure is not really a new phenomenon but the rapidity of economic downturn caused by this has necessitated the need for integrating an efficient risk management system.

The past few decades have witnessed growing interest of experts in the field. While some writers have instituted an argument of what kind of risk management model should be adopted by deposit-taking financial institutions, others have suggested more stringent regulatory options. Risk management involves risk identification, risk measurement (and quantification), and mitigation. However, an important point to note here is the perception of what constitutes risk to a firm may differ from institution to institution, time to time, and industry to industry.

2.3.3.1 The 5 C’s Model of Client Appraisal

Microfinance Institutions use the 5Cs model of credit to evaluate a customer as a potential borrower (Abedi, 2000). The 5Cs help MFIs to increase loan performance, as they get to know their customers better. These 5Cs are: character, capacity, collateral, capital and condition.

Character basically is a tool that provides weighting values for various characteristics of a credit applicant and the total weighted score of the applicant is used to estimate his credit worthiness (Myers and Forgy, 2005). This is the personal impression the client makes on the potential lender.

The factors that influence a client can be categorized into personal, cultural, social, and economic factors (Ouma, 1996). The psychological factor is based on a man’s inner worth rather than on his tangible evidences of accomplishment. MFI’s consider this factor by observing and learning about the individual. In most cases it is not considered on first application of credit by an applicant but from the second time. Under social factors, lifestyle is the way a person lives. This includes patterns of social relations (membership groups), consumption and entertainment. A lifestyle typically also reflects an individual's
attitudes, values or worldview. Reference groups in most cases have indirect influence on a person’s credibility. MFI’s try to identify the reference groups of their target as they influence a client’s credibility. Personal factors include age, life cycle stage, occupation, income or economic situation, personality and self-concept. Under life cycle stage for example older families with mature children are not likely to default since it’s easier to attach collateral on their assets since they are settled unlike the unsettled young couples.

The MFI’s will consider the cash flow from the business, the timing of the repayment, and the successful repayment of the loan. Anthony (2006) defines cash flow as the cash a borrower has to pay his debt. Cash flow helps the MFI’s to determine if the borrower has the ability to repay the debt. The analysis of cash flow can be very technical. It may include more than simply comparing income and expenses. MFI’s determines cash flow by examining existing cash flow statements (if available) and reasonable projections for the future (ratios Orlando (1990) posits that lenders review the borrower’s business plan and financial statements, they have a checklist of items to look at one of the being the number of financial ratios that the financial statements reveal.

These ratios are guidelines to assist lenders determine whether the borrower will be able to service current expenses plus pay for the additional expense of a new loan. Collateral is any asset that customers have to pledge against debt (Lawrence & Charles, 1995). Collateral represents assets that the company pledges as alternative repayment source of loan. Most collateral is in form of hard assets such as real estate and office or manufacturing equipment. Alternatively accounts receivable and inventory can be pledged as collateral. Lenders of short term funds prefer collateral that has duration closely matched to the short term loan.

According to Weston and Eugene (1966), Capital is measured by the general financial position of the borrower as indicated by a financial ratio analysis, with special emphasis on tangible net worth of the borrower’s business. Thus, capital is the money a borrower has personally invested in the business and is an indication of how much the borrower has at risk should the business fail. Condition refers to the borrower’s sensitivity to external forces such as interest rates, inflation rates, business cycles as well as competitive pressures. The conditions focus on the borrower’s vulnerability.

2.3.4 Debt Recovery Policies and Profitability
Risk is part of life. Avoiding all risk would result in no achievement, no progress and of course, no reward. Ordinarily, risk is associated with the likelihood of a negative outcome. However, in
management, risk is the chance that an investment’s actual return will be different than expected. A fundamental idea in finance is the relationship between risk and return on investment. It implies future uncertainty about deviation from expected earnings or expected outcome and measures the uncertainty that an investor is willing to take to realize again from an investment. There are different types of risks: liquidity risk, sovereign risk, insurance risk, business risk, default risk, and so on (The Economic Times, 2015).

From the above, it could be noted that there are different definitions of risk for each of several applications. The widely inconsistent and ambiguous use of the word is one of several current criticisms of the method of managing risk (Douglas, 2009). The ISO 31000 (2009)/ISO Guide 73 definition of risk is the effect of uncertainty on objectives. In this definition, uncertainties include events (which may or may not happen) and uncertainties caused by a lack of information or ambiguity. This definition also includes both negative and positive impacts on objectives. The ISO 31000:2009 covers information security management measurements, generally known as security metrics.

The standard is intended to help organizations measure, report on and systematically improve the effectiveness of their information security management systems. It improves guidance on the development and use of measures and measurement in order to assess the effectiveness of an implemented information security management system (ISMS) and controls or a group of controls, as specified in ISO/IEC 27001. This would include policy, information security risk management, control objectives, controls, processes and procedures, and support the process of system revision, helping to determine whether any of the ISMS processes or controls need to be changed or improved. The Standard has the following key sections: information security management responsibilities, measures and measurement development, measurement operations, data analysis and measurement result reporting, information security measurement program evaluation and improvement.

The Institute of Risk Management defines risk as the combination of the probability of an event and its consequence. Consequences could range from negative to positive. All organizations have objectives at a strategic, tactical, and operational level, and anything that makes achieving these objectives uncertain is termed risk. Risk is also defined in terms of probability of an event and its consequences. Cohen (1982) posits that risk is simply mixing courage and common sense. In another definition, risk is regarded as future issues that can be avoided or mitigated, rather than present problems that must be immediately addressed. The simple fact is that risk is always a probability issue. Possibility is a binary condition—either something is possible, or
it’s not 100 percent or 0 percent. Probability reflects the continuum between absolute certainty and impossibility. The key thing is to keep in mind is that establishing probabilities is not the same things as for a world of uncertainties for telling the future. OHSAS (Occupational Health & Safety Advisory Services) defines risk as the product of the probability of a hazard resulting in an adverse event, times the severity of the event (Sifuna, 2011).

2.3.5 Credit risk monitoring and profitability

Risk management is a systematic process of understanding, evaluating, and addressing risks to maximize the chances of objectives being achieved and ensuring organizations, individuals, and communities are sustainable. It also enables the organization to be aware of new possibilities. In effect, risk management requires an informed understanding of relevant risks, an assessment of their relative priority and an aggravating approach to monitoring and controlling them. It is indeed the practice of identifying potential risks in advance, analyzing them and taking precautionary steps to reduce or curb the risk. In finance and business, when an organization makes an investment decision, it exposes itself to a number of financial risks. The quantum of such risks depends on the type of financial instrument. The financial risks might be in the form of high inflation, volatility in capital markets, recession and financial institution bankruptcy and so on. In order to minimize and control the exposure of investment to such risks, financial institution managers and investors resort to the practice of risk management' (Tsevisani, 2007).

Tsevisani (2007) holds the view that the interaction between human factors and tangible aspects of risk highlights the need to focus closely on human factors as one of the main drivers for risk management: a changed driver that comes first of all from the need to know how human perform in changing environments and in the face of risks.

Risk mitigation strategies are employed by lending institutions to avoid or minimize the adverse effect of risk. This includes the identification, analysis, assessment, control, and avoidance, minimization or elimination of unacceptable risks. As a strategy, an organization may use risk assumption, risk avoidance, risk retention, risk transfer or any other strategy (or combination of strategies) ineffective management of future events. Therefore, sound risk management framework is crucial for commercial financial institutions to enhance their profitability and guarantees survival. The key principles in credit risk mitigation processes are sequenced as follows: establishment of a clear structure, allocation of
2.3.5.1 Credit Policy
Credit Policy can be viewed as written guidelines that set the terms and conditions for supplying goods on credit, customer qualification criteria, procedure for making collections, and steps to be taken in case of customer delinquency. This term can also be referred to as a collection policy. It is also the guidelines that spell out how to decide which customers are sold on open account, the exact payment terms, the limits set on outstanding balances and how to deal with delinquent accounts.

Lawrence (2003), the objective of managing accounts receivable is to collect receivable without losing sales from high-pressure collection techniques. Accomplishing this objective encompasses; credit selection and standard which involve the application of technique for determining which customer should receive credit. This process involves evaluating the customer’s credit worthiness and comparing it to the firm’s credit standard, its minimum requirements for extending credit to customers and credit monitoring which involves ongoing review of the firm’s account receivable to determine whether customers are paying according to the stated credit terms. Slow payments are costly to a firm’s investment in account receivable.

Debtor management means the process of decisions relating to the investment in business debtors. In credit selling, it is certain that we have to pay the cost of getting money from debtors and to take some risk of loss due to bad debts. To minimize the loss due to not receiving money from debtors is the main aim of debtor management.

Economic conditions and firms credit policies are the chief influence on the level of a firm’s account receivable (James, 2002). The trade-off between increase in the market share through credit sales and the collectability of the account receivable affects firm’s liquidity and its eventual profitability. A firm may report large profit and still suffer liquidity problem if bulk of its transactions are in account receivable and collection policy is not effective. Credit and collection policies encompasses the quality of accounts accepted, the credit period extended, the cash discount given, certain special terms and the level of collection expenditure. In each case, the credit decision involves a trade-off between the additional profitability and the cost resulting from a change in any of these elements.
Receivable management begins with the decision of whether or not to grant credit. Where goods are sold on credit, a monitoring system is important, because without it, receivable will built up to excessive levels, cash flow (liquidity) will decline and bad debts will offset the profit on sales. Corrective action is often needed and the only way to know whether the situation is getting out of hand is to set up and then follow a good receivable control system (Eugene, 1992).

Eugene, (1992), states that optimal credit policy, hence the optimal level of accounts receivable, depends on the firm’s own unique operating conditions. A firm with excess capacity and low variable production cost should extend credit more liberally and carry a higher level of receivable than a firm operating at full capacity on slim profit margin.

2.3.6 Diversification of credit products and profitability

Loans and advances can be arranged from financial institutions in keeping with the flexibility in business operations. Traders may borrow money for day today financial needs availing of the facility of cash credit, financial institution overdraft and discounting of bills. The amount raised as loan may be repaid within a short period to suit the convenience of the borrower. Thus business may be run efficiently with borrowed funds from financial institutions for financing its loans and advances working capital requirements are utilized for making payments of current liabilities, wages and salaries of employees, and also the tax liability of business. Loans and advances from financial institutions are found to be economical for traders and businessmen, because financial institutions charge a reasonable rate of interest on such loans/advances (Khrawish, 2011).

2.4 Summary of Literature Review

Generally, from almost all surveys reviewed in the literature, it is evident that credit risk mitigation is essential in optimizing the performance of micro financial institutions. In addition, effective credit risk mitigation involves establishing an appropriate credit risk environment, operating under a sound credit granting process, maintaining appropriate credit administration that involves the identification, analysis and monitoring processes as well as adequate controls over credit risk.
According to Parrenas (2005), organizations have long viewed the problem of risk mitigation as the need to control risks which make up most, if not all, of their risk exposure, credit, interest rate, foreign exchange, and liquidity risk. While they recognize counterparty and legal risks, they view them as less central to their concerns. Where counterparty risk is significant, it is evaluated using standard credit risk procedures, and often within the credit department itself.

2.5 Research Gap

Magnifique (2013) evaluated the link of credit risk management in regards to financial performance of profit-making financial institutions in Rwanda. His research had four key goals in ascertaining the identification, analysis, and assessment of credit exposure, credit-scoring method, and risk supervision and their effect on commercial financial institutions financial performance in Rwanda. A descriptive research design was used in carrying out this research with a sample size of eleven commercial financial institutions. Using a questionnaire, primary data was collected for analysis. The findings of the study indicated that three key objectives played a major function in predicting the financial institutions financial performance except for risk monitoring. Credit risk identification explained the productivity of these financial institutions in Rwanda while scoring, analysis as well as assessment of credit risk explained the financial performance. However, this study took into account the correlation of credit risk management in regards to the monetary performance of financial institutions only, whose capital adequacy requirements are different from that of Microfinance institutions. Secondly, the study was carried out in Rwanda whereas the objective of this research is to evaluate the effects of credit risk management in MFIs on profitability within the Kenyan context.

Kisala (2014) examined the relationship that exists between credit risk management on the loan performance of MFIs in Kenya. The researcher used a descriptive research design that involving an exhaustively analysis of credit risk management and its relationship with loan performance in micro finance institutions. A sample size of nine MFIs was used, however, both primary data and secondary data was collected from 5 microfinance institutions through questionnaires and annual reports (2007-2011). The researcher used ROE as a profitability indicator while CAR and NPL ratio as credit risk management pointers. The findings of the study pointed out that there is a major correlation involving credit risk management and loan performance. The findings of the research indicated that NPL and CAR do have a negative and comparatively significant effect on ROE. NPL ratio had a greater effect on ROE as compared to CAR. The study instituted that there is a correlation between credit risk
management and microfinance institutions financial performance. This study however, focused on exclusively on deposit taking microfinance institutions only leaving out the non-deposit taking MFIs. Therefore, this study aims at focusing on both deposit and non-deposit taking MFIs with an aim of filling this research gap.

Korir (2014) assessed the outcome of credit risk management on the fiscal performance of DTMs that are licensed by the Central Financial institution. The researcher used a descriptive research design in his study that drawn in an exhaustively analysis of credit risk management and financial performance as the major variables. A sample size of nine Microfinances was used, however, both primary data and secondary data was collected from 6 microfinance institutions through questionnaires and annual reports (2011 - 2014). Return on asset (ROA) model was used as a profitability indicator while Default rate, cost per loan asset, and bad debt cost as credit risk management indicators. Using multiple regression analysis the key finding of the study was that cost per loan asset, and bad debt cost and default rate are major credit risk management indicators that have an inverse effect on financial performance of DTM. This study however, focused exclusively on DTM leaving out the non-deposit taking MFIs. Therefore, this study focuses on both deposit and non-deposit taking MFIs with an aim of filling this research gap.

2.6 Conceptual Framework
The framework spells out the correlation involving the loan appraisal procedures (independent variable), debt recovery policies (independent variable), credit risk monitoring (independent variable), Diversification of credit products (independent variable) and profitability (Dependent variable).
Credit Risk Mitigation Strategies

Loan appraisal procedures
- Credit history of borrower
- Screening of clients

Debt recovery policies
- Penalties upon default
- CRB listing

Profitability

Profitability of Microfinance Institutions
- Amount of profit gained
- Return on Equity

Credit risk monitoring
- Contact with borrowers
- Monitoring borrower cashflows

Diversification of credit products
- Credit products offered
- Common credit products among

Government Policies
Policies governing the operation of microfinance institutions

Regulations
Regulations for microfinance institutions

Independent Variable

Intervening Variable

Dependent Variable

Source: Researcher (2017)

Figure 2.1: Conceptual Framework
CHAPTER THREE
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction
This chapter discusses the overall methodology of the study. It covers the research design, the population of the study, the sampling design, data collection methods, the overall research procedure, and data analysis methods that was adopted for purposes of this study.

3.2 Research Design
Explanatory research design was used. Explanatory research design involves measuring a set of variables as they exist naturally (Saunders, Lewis & Thornhill, 2011). It attempts to answer immediate questions about a current state of affairs (Matthews & Kostelis, 2011). It is designed to provide in-depth information about the characteristics of subjects within a particular field of study (Houser, 2011). It emphasizes on producing data based on real world observation through a purposeful and structured approach (Denscombe, 2003).

3.3 Target Population
A population is the total set of subjects about which the researcher wishes to make some inferences (Cooper & Schindler, 2005). The population of the study will be the management and staff members of microfinance institutions within Nakuru town. The population is most appropriate for the study as the study will mainly be carried out in microfinance institutions.

3.4 Sampling Frame
A sampling frame is an objective list of population subjects from which the sample is drawn (Denscombe, 2007). In this study, the sampling frame included financial and credit managers in microfinance institutions in Nakuru town. These are the people who had relevant information on the topic under study.

3.5 Sample Size and Sampling Technique
Simple random sampling method was used in the current study. The choice of this method is to ensure fair representation of the study findings since all the departments was represented in the sample selected. Samples, representative of the relative proportions of departmental population to the total population, were picked at random from each of the departments. The current study drew the sample size from microfinance institutions in Nakuru. The sample size comprised of managers and also employees mostly those in the finance departments. All
the managers of these institutions were targeted to participate in the study. All employees will also be targeted. Stratified sampling will be applied to divide the population into various strata that is managers and employees. Simple random sampling will then be applied in order to obtain a sample size. The formula below will be used to calculate the sample size that will be used for the current study.

\[
n = \frac{N \cdot c^2}{C^2 + (N-1) \cdot e^2}
\]

Where; 
\(n\) = sample size  
\(N\) = population total  
\(C\) = coefficient of variation  
\(E\) = margin of error

A total of 17 microfinance institutions were targeted for this study. Each of the institutions have 1 manager so a total of 17 managers were targeted to participate in the study. There are approximately 500 employees in these financial institutions. Out of these populations, the researcher will further group them according to departments and will focus on those that work in the finance departments. There are approximately 300 employees working in the finance departments. The researcher used 17 microfinance institutions from Nakuru town and from each institution respondents were selected to take part in the study. Using the formula above a sample size of 75 respondents was obtained to participate in the study.

### 3.6 Data Collection Methods

This study used primary sources of data. The data was collected using a questionnaire containing both structured and unstructured questions. Saunders et al. (2009) defines a questionnaire as the general term including all data collection techniques in which each person is asked to answer the same set of questions in a predetermined order. Structured and unstructured questionnaires involve the use of both open and closed end questions. Denscombe (2003) suggests that questionnaires are at their most productive when used in large numbers, when relatively brief and uncontroversial, when the social climate is open enough to allow full and honest answers and when there is need for data in a standardized form. In this study, Likert Scale was used to structure the questions in the questionnaire.

### 3.7 Data Collection procedure

The researcher sought the views of the management and staff of microfinance institutions. A preliminary pilot study was conducted in order to assure the researcher that the research
methodology is valid for the study and would lead to the successful realization of the research objectives. Corrective action was taken where necessary. Respondents were issued with questionnaires and they were requested to fill them. The researcher then collected the questionnaires for analysis.

3.8 Data Analysis Techniques
According to Marshall and Rossman (1999), data analysis is the process of bringing order, structure, and interpretation to the mass of collected data. It involves coding, editing, and cleaning of data in preparation for processing. The completed questionnaires were received, checked for completeness and edited for correctness. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the data in this study, and also the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) were used.

The qualitative data generated from the study guided will be categorized in themes in accordance with research objectives and reported in narrative form along with quantitative data. Quantitative data was analyzed through the use of frequency distribution and percentages. The findings were presented in the form of frequency distribution tables, bar charts, and pie charts. The data was summarized according to the specific objectives.
CHAPTER FOUR
DATA ANALYSIS, PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION

4.0 Introduction

This chapter dealt with data analysis, presentation of the findings. A questionnaire was used as the instrument for data collection. The aim of the study was to investigate the role of credit risk mitigation strategies on profitability of micro-finance institutions in Nakuru town. The study comprised of a sample size of 75 respondents. After the questionnaires were filled, data was analyzed, coded, summarized, and presented in form of percentages, tables, charts and graphs using SPSS version 20.

4.1 Background Information

4.1.1 Gender of the Respondents

The researcher sought to find out the gender distribution of respondents who participated in the study. The figure below shows the results.
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**Figure 4.1: Gender of the Respondents**

The gender distribution among respondent showed that majority 59% were female while 41% were male.

4.1.2 Age of Respondents

An analysis was made to determine the age bracket of the respondent who participated in the study. The study revealed that 17% of the respondents were between 21 and 30 years, 20% were between 31 and 40 years, 28% between 41 and 50 years, 25% were between 51 and 60 years while 9% were above 60 years.
From the cross tabulation results in Table 1, chi-square has a value of 17.424 with significance of 0.001. Cross tabulations was used to examine relationships within data that may not be readily apparent. From this analysis, we can conclude that females are more likely than males to believe that age influences profitability.

### 4.1.3 Level of Education

Furthermore, the researcher was also interested in finding out the highest education level attained by the respondents which yielded the following results. According to the study results, respondents with primary education accounted for (4%) while those with secondary education accounted for (9%). In the same vein, the majority (61%) had attained college education while 25% were university graduates. This implied that the credit institutions employed highly educated individuals.

Cross tabulation results of gender and level of education revealed that majority of female respondents (37%) had college education compared with male respondents at about (24%). Majority of female respondents (14%) had University degrees compared with (11%) of the male. From the cross tabulation results in Table 3, chi-square has a value of 9.174 with significance of 0.001. Chi-square test was used to determine whether or not the Female were associated with higher education levels than their counterparts.
4.2 1.1 Loan Appraisal Procedure

The researcher was interested to determine the loan appraisal procedures carried out by the institutions. The table below reveals the responses to the likert scale on loan appraisal.

### Table 2: Loan Appraisal Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>0 Freq (%)</th>
<th>1 Freq (%)</th>
<th>2 Freq (%)</th>
<th>3 Freq (%)</th>
<th>4 Freq (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review of credit history of the member or borrower</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24(18)</td>
<td>27(20)</td>
<td>17(12)</td>
<td>42(25)</td>
<td>1.384</td>
<td>.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of credit risk based decisions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8(6)</td>
<td>15(11)</td>
<td>33(25)</td>
<td>44(33)</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening of clients before advancing credit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(11)1</td>
<td>(13)10</td>
<td>(46)34</td>
<td>(40)30</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td>0.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit risk information sharing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(12)8</td>
<td>(18)14</td>
<td>(40)30</td>
<td>(31)23</td>
<td>2.386</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighing and prioritizing risk events and clients</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10(10)</td>
<td>20(15)</td>
<td>27(20)</td>
<td>40(30)</td>
<td>1.612</td>
<td>.204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: 1= 0= Not at all, 1= To a little extent, 2= To a moderate extent, 3= To a great extent, 4=To a very great extent. Freq = frequency, % = percent.

When asked to what extent review of credit history of the member or borrower is done, 42% said to a very great extent, 27% said to a moderate extent, 24% to a little extent and 17% said to a great extent. On analysis of credit risk based decisions, 44% to a very great extent, 33% to a great extent and less than 20% to a moderate extent. Screening of clients before advancing credit,46% to a great extent, 40% said a very great extent, while 13% said to a moderate extent. On credit risk information sharing, 40% said to a very great extent, 31% to a great extent, less than 20% said to a moderate extent and to a very little extent.

The Pearson chi-square correlation coefficient was used to determine whether there was an association between the two variables (gender and likert scale questions). The general position (null hypothesis) is that there is no difference between the expected observations and the actual observations. For the statements on loan appraisal procedure, the results revealed p values greater than .05 for all the statements. The decision therefore was to fail to reject the null hypothesis and concluded that there is no statistically significant evidence to suggest that
gender is likely to result change the opinion on the statements on loan appraisal procedure since all had p-values greater than alpha level of .05.

4.2.2.2 Challenges determining which clients are viable for loans

The respondents were asked to comment on whether there were challenges in determining clients that qualify for loans. The figure below shows the results.

Figure 2.3: Challenges of Client Viability

The results revealed that the majority (92%) did not have challenges determining which clients are viable for loans and which are not while 8% said yes. According to the study, the main challenges faced by the respondents were failure to disclose full personal information by the clients and

4.2.2.3 Effectiveness of Strategies on Loan Appraisal

The researcher sought to determine how effective loan appraisal strategies were done. The figure below reveals the results.

Figure 4.3: Effectiveness of Strategies on Loan Appraisal
The results revealed that the majority (75%) said that loan appraisal strategies were effective while 25% said they were not always effective.

4.3.1 Debt Recovery Policies

It was in the interest of the researcher to determine the extent which organization undertakes on various risk control measures to ensure that credit risk control is done well to prevent it from failing in its obligations and meeting it objectives. The table below revealed the frequency response rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>0 Freq (%)</th>
<th>1 Freq (%)</th>
<th>2 Freq (%)</th>
<th>3 Freq (%)</th>
<th>4 Freq (%)</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training financial institution staff on risk control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1(1)</td>
<td>14(19)</td>
<td>35(26)</td>
<td>50(29)</td>
<td>6.847</td>
<td>.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascertaining the value of collateral</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33(44)</td>
<td>31(23)</td>
<td>34(24)</td>
<td>34(25)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>.891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure loan financial institutioning system</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9(7)</td>
<td>27(20)</td>
<td>31(23)</td>
<td>33(25)</td>
<td>.246</td>
<td>.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRB listing upon default</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6(5)</td>
<td>24(18)</td>
<td>27(20)</td>
<td>43(32)</td>
<td>.641</td>
<td>.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalties upon default</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8(6)</td>
<td>19(14)</td>
<td>29(22)</td>
<td>44(33)</td>
<td>.600</td>
<td>.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring the loan is used for intended purpose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15(11)</td>
<td>19(14)</td>
<td>37(28)</td>
<td>29(22)</td>
<td>.519</td>
<td>.471</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: 1= 0= Not at all, 1= To a little extent, 2= To a moderate extent, 3= To a great extent, 4=To a very great extent. Freq = frequency, % = percent.

The results revealed on training bank staff on risk control, the majority (50%) said to a very great extent, 35% to a great extent while 14% said to a moderate extent. Value of collateral is ascertained according to the study. This was evidenced by 34% of the respondents who said to a very great extent and 32% who said to a great extent. In regard to secure loan banking system, the respondents held the view that this is carried out to a very great extent (33%), 31% said to a great extent while 27% said to a moderate extent. Listing in CRB for loan defaulters revealed that 43% agreed to a very great extent, 26% to a great extent, while 24% opined to a moderate extent. Penalties are imposed on loan defaulters to a very great extent.
(46%), 29% were of the view to a great extent, 18% to a moderate extent while 8% said to a little extent. Is to whether the institution ensures that the loan is used for the intended purpose, 38% said to a great extent, 30% said to a very great extent, 18% to a moderate extent while 14% said to a very little extent.

The chi-square tests on the statements on debt recovery policies, the results revealed p values greater than .05 for all the statements expect the statement on training financial institution staff on risk control. The decision therefore was to fail to reject the null hypothesis and concluded that there is no statistically significant evidence to suggest that gender is likely to result change the opinion on the statements on debt recovery policies since most statements had p-values greater than alpha level of .05. However, there was likely to be some difference on opinion based on gender as to whether the institution trained its staff on risk control.

4.3.2 Whether Presence of Bad Debts Affect the Profitability
The researcher sought to establish whether the presence of bad debts affects the profitability of the institution. The figure below shows the results.
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**Figure 4.4: Effect of Bad Debts on Profitability**
According to the results, 57% of the respondents said bad debts do not affect profitability of the institution while 43% said it does affect profitability. The respondents were also asked to comment on whether they have challenges when it comes to debt recovery from bad debtors.
Figure 4.5: Challenges of Bad Debt Recovery

Seventy-seven percent of the respondents as shown in the figure above said they do not encounter challenges in bad debt recovery while 23% said they encounter some challenges. The researcher further sought to establish whether the strategies used to recover bad debts are effective or not.

Figure 4.6: Effectiveness of Debt Recovery Strategies

According to the results above, 76% of the respondents said that the strategies used by the institution are effective in debt recovery while 24% opined that they are not as effective.

4.4 Credit Risk Monitoring

The researcher also sought to determine the extent that the organization undertakes on a number of credit risk monitoring measures to ensure that credit risk monitoring is properly done. The table below shows the frequency rate of the responses
**Table 4: Credit Risk Monitoring**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>0 Freq (%)</th>
<th>1 Freq (%)</th>
<th>2 Freq (%)</th>
<th>3 Freq (%)</th>
<th>4 Freq (%)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous monitoring of cash flows of borrower</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13(9)</td>
<td>17(13)</td>
<td>18(14)</td>
<td>52(39)</td>
<td>.048</td>
<td>.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant contact with borrowers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11(8)</td>
<td>18(14)</td>
<td>27(20)</td>
<td>43(32)</td>
<td>.110</td>
<td>.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of clients loan repayment pattern</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9(7)</td>
<td>12(9)</td>
<td>28(37)</td>
<td>51(38)</td>
<td>.037</td>
<td>.848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting distressed borrowers and</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9(7)</td>
<td>23(17)</td>
<td>19(14)</td>
<td>48(36)</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent loan classification/provisioning</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13(10)</td>
<td>25(19)</td>
<td>29(22)</td>
<td>32(24)</td>
<td>3.297</td>
<td>.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revising credit risk control and appraisal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10(8)</td>
<td>14(10)</td>
<td>34(26)</td>
<td>42(32)</td>
<td>.848</td>
<td>.521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: 1= Not at all, 2= To a little extent, 3= To a moderate extent, 4= To a great extent, 5= To a very great extent. Freq = frequency, % = percent.

Fifty-two percent of the respondents agreed to a very great extent that the institution continuously monitors of cash flows of borrower, 18% said to a great extent while 17% said to a moderate extent. As to whether there is constant contact with borrowers, 43% of the respondents said to a very great extent, 27% to a great extent, and 18% to a moderate extent. Fifty-one percent agreed to a very great extent that clients loan repayment pattern is reviewed, 28% said to a great extent while less than 15% said to a moderate extent. The respondents agreed to a very great extent that there is support of distressed borrowers, 23% said to a moderate extent, while 19% said to a great extent. On the issue of frequent loan classification/provisioning, 32% said to a very great extent, 29% opined to a great extent, 25% said to a moderate extent while 13% said to a very little extent. In addition, the researcher wanted to establish whether revising of credit risk control and appraisal measures is done. The results revealed that 42% said to a very great extent, 34% said to a great extent while 14% said to a moderate extent.

The chi-square test statistic results revealed p values greater than .05 for all the statements. The decision therefore was to fail to reject the null hypothesis and concluded that there is no
statistically significant evidence to suggest that gender is likely to result in change the opinion on the statements on credit risk monitoring.

4.5 Inferential Statistics

4.5.1 Bivariate Correlation

Correlation is one of the most common and most useful statistics. A correlation is a single number that describes the degree of relationship between two variables (Trochim, 2006). Pearson Correlation Coefficient measures the degree of linear relationship between two variables (normally denoted by the letter r). Linear relationship means that a straight line can explain the relationship. Correlation ranges from -1.0 to 1.0 whereby -1.0 refers to perfect negative correlation and 1.0 refers to a perfect positive correlation. There are three types of relationships in a correlation. Namely; positive correlation for instance, higher scores in x are associated with higher scores in y. Negative correlation whereby higher scores of x are associated with lower scores of y. No correlation whereby there is no predictable relationship between x and y (Trochim, 2006).

Bivariate correlation is an endeavor to understand the method of testing the statistical significance of data in addition to testing the relationship between any two variables. A researcher is interested in not only the statistical significance but also knowing whether there is any relationship between the two variables discernible within the data. After finding the relationship, a researcher is also interested to know the strength of the relationship, and whether given one variable he can be able to predict the value of another variable.

A correlation coefficient matrix was run to determine the relationship between the explanatory, and the dependent variable as shown in the table below. This was to determine whether there was any significant correlation between the dependent variable and the explanatory variables. A hypothesis test will reveal whether the difference is attributed to ordinary random factors or not. Statistical significance confirms whether the difference is due to chance factors or not and if not due to chance, then it is said to be statistically significant. In other words, whether the correlation coefficient is statistically different from zero that is, whether we would expect to see similar results in the population. Therefore, since the data used is sampled and randomized, it cannot be inferred to be 100% significant. Accordingly, in this paper used a 5% significance level.
Table 5: Pearson’s Correlation Coefficient Matrix of the Relationship between the Explanatory and the Dependent Variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Appraisal</th>
<th>Debt Recovery</th>
<th>Credit Risk</th>
<th>Diversification</th>
<th>Profitability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Correlations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraisal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Recovery</td>
<td>-.191</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Risk</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>-.168</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversification</td>
<td>-.007</td>
<td>.041</td>
<td>-.148</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profitability</td>
<td>.105</td>
<td>.113</td>
<td>-.177</td>
<td>.786**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).**

The table above provides the Pearson’s correlation Coefficient for the relationship between the dependent variable (profitability) and the independent variables (appraisal, debt recovery, credit risk and diversification). The analysis revealed a positive correlation between appraisal and profitability but statistically significant \((r= 0.105, p=0.369)\). Debt recovery revealed a positive correlation of 0.113 associated with a p-value of 0.335. This was however statistically insignificant at 5% significance level \((r=.113, p= .335)\). Results revealed a negative correlation between credit risk and profitability. However, there was no statistically significant evidence to suggest that credit risk was associated profitability at 5% significance level \((r= .0.177, p= 0.128)\). In terms of diversification, results revealed that diversification was positively associated with profitability. This association was statistically significant leading to the conclusion that there was statistically significant evidence to suggest that diversification was likely to be associated with profitability.

4.5.2 Multiple Regression of the Independent Variables
The researcher chose to use a multiple regression analysis because, according to Field (2009), "Regression analysis enables us to predict future (outcomes) based on values of predictive
variables” (p. 198). This methodology allowed for a statistical analysis of the data. It was also an efficient means of gathering data without introducing threats to reliability that can occur with other data collection means. Multiple regression “calculates the contribution of each predictive variable by looking at the significance value of the t-test for each predictor. If a predictor meets the removal criterion (i.e. if it is not making a statistically significant contribution to how well the model predicts the outcome variable) it is removed from the model (Field, 2009, p. 213).” After this is completed, any remaining variable would then be assessed to determine their contribution to the outcome of the dependent variable.

According to Field (2009): In a multiple regression analysis it is important for the researcher to check and ensure that the assumption of no multi-collinearity (heavily related variable) had not been violated by having any variables that were too closely related to one another by checking the Pearson Correlation Coefficient, the tolerance level and the variance inflation factor (VIF) values between the predictive variables (Field, 2009). Multi-collinearity is the undesirable situation where the correlations among the independent variable are strong; it refers to actual disparity percentage to total disparity among variables. According to Mohamed (2012), if the VIF factor is less than 5 then there is no Multi-collinearity problem. The study examined the effect of Multi-collinearity on the regression models using the Variance Inflation Factor for the independent variables (VIF) analysis. The findings indicate (see table below) that the mean variance inflation factors for the independent variables was 1.05 implying that there was no Multi-collinearity problem since independent variables did not have variance inflation factors (VIF) that exceeded five.

Table 6: Multi-collinearity Diagnostics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Appraisal</td>
<td>.963</td>
<td>1.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DebtRecovery</td>
<td>.935</td>
<td>1.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CreditRisk</td>
<td>.951</td>
<td>1.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diversification</td>
<td>.978</td>
<td>1.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Dependent Variable: Profitability

**4.5.3 Hypothesis Testing**

Hypothesis testing is a process by which the researcher infers the result of sample data on the larger population based on a presupposition made prior to commencement of research
(Gujarati, 2003). The study performed hypothesis testing by determining statistical significance of the coefficients of explanatory variables. Test-of-significance method is meant to verify the truth or falsity of a null hypothesis by using sample results, showing that the means of two normally distributed populations are equal. This was done by using the two-tailed t-test statistic and the corresponding $p$-values at 1%, 5% and 10% levels. The decision to use a two-tailed test was based on the fact that the alternative hypothesis of the study is composite rather than directional (Gujarati, 2003).

This procedure was carried out against the null hypotheses enumerated in section 1.4 of chapter one. In all the tests, the decision rule was that: if the $p$-value observed is less than the set alpha (significance level), then reject the null hypothesis and if the observed $p$-value is greater than the set alpha, do not reject the null hypothesis.

4.5.4 Relationship between Credit Risk Mitigation Strategies and the Profitability of Microfinance Institutions

The researcher formulated the following hypotheses to help answer the research hypothesis:

$H_01$: There is no clear link between loan appraisal procedures and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town

$H_02$: There is no clear link between debt recovery policies and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town

$H_03$: There is no clear link between credit risk monitoring and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town

$H_04$: There is no clear link between diversification of credit products and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town

The regression model was as follows: $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \epsilon$

**Where:**

$y = $ Profitability  
$\beta_0 = $ Constant Term  
$\beta_1 = $ Beta coefficients  
$X_1 = $ loan appraisal procedures  
$X_2 = $ debt recovery policies  
$X_3 = $ credit risk monitoring  
$X_4 = $ diversification of credit products  
$\epsilon = $ Error term
The model summary (see table 3) revealed a coefficient of determination (r square) of 0.642 (64.2%). This meant that a change in profitability could be explained by 64.2% change in the explanatory variables (credit risk monitoring, loan appraisal procedures, debt recovery policies, and Diversification of credit products).

**Table 7: Coefficient of Determination of Explanatory Variables on Profitability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.801a</td>
<td>.642</td>
<td>.622</td>
<td>.306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), Diversification, appraisal, CreditRisk, DebtRecovery

Regression standard error (Std. Error of the Estimate) is the average forecast error (difference between actual and values predicted by the estimated equation). Small values indicate that the estimated model fits the observed data closely. The Std. Average error (difference between actual and predicted values) was about 0.30.

**Table 8: Analysis of Variances**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>11.785</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.946</td>
<td>31.434</td>
<td>.000b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>6.561</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>.094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18.347</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Profitability
b. Predictors: (Constant), Diversification, appraisal, creditrisk, debtrecovery

ANOVA for the explanatory variables was used to describe whether these variables were significant and whether they could be used in the model to predict profitability as shown in table 4 above. Study revealed an f statistic of 31.43 that was associated with a p value of p <.01 and significant at 0.01 alpha level. This meant that the regression model could be used because the explanatory variables’ impact on the dependent variable was statistically significant.

**4.5.4.1 Interpretation of the Coefficients**

Table 5 below revealed the regression model of the explanatory variables on the dependent variable. The results showed the unstandardized beta coefficients that could be used to predict the single outcome of profitability.
### Table 9: Multiple Regression Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>-.337</td>
<td>.268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.258</td>
<td>.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraisal</td>
<td>.078</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>.130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.786</td>
<td>.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DebtRecovery</td>
<td>.083</td>
<td>.062</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.329</td>
<td>.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CreditRisk</td>
<td>-.030</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>-.047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.638</td>
<td>.526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversification</td>
<td>.771</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.776</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.730</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Profitability

The predicted multiple regression equation from the model above becomes:

\[
y \text{(profitability)} = -0.337 + 0.078(\text{loan appraisal procedures}) + 0.083(\text{debt recovery policies}) - 0.030(\text{credit risk monitoring}) + 0.771(\text{diversification of credit products}).
\]

Based on the model, findings revealed that loan appraisal procedures, debt recovery policies, and diversification of credit products were positively associated with the dependent variable (profitability). However, loan appraisal procedures, debt recovery policies as included in the model were not statistically significant at 5% significance level (\(\beta_1 = 0.078, t= 1.786, p=0.079; \beta_2=0.083, t= 1.329, p=0.188\)). According to the model, only resource availability could be used to estimate the profitability of microfinance institutions since it was statistically significant at .05 alpha level (\(\beta_1 = 0.497, t= 2.572, p=0.014\)). The null hypothesis that there is no clear link between loan appraisal procedures and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town was therefore retained and concluded that there is no relationship between loan appraisal procedures and the profitability. Likewise, the study found no clear link between debt recovery policies and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town hence the decision was to fail to reject the null hypothesis. In terms of the relationship between diversification of credit products and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town, the model revealed a test statistic of 10.73 associated with a p value of \(p<.01\). The decision to reject the null hypothesis 4 and conclude that there was sufficient evidence to suggest that diversification of credit products is associated with profitability (\(t= 10.73, p<.01\)).

The results revealed that credit risk monitoring was negatively correlated with profitability. However, this correlation was not statistically significant hence the null hypothesis 3 was retained and concluded that there was no evidence based on the sample to suggest that credit risk monitoring is as associated with profitability.
CHAPTER FIVE
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Introduction
This chapter presents the summary of the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the study. The study aimed at ascertaining the effect of credit risk mitigation strategies on the profitability of micro-finance institutions in Nakuru town.

5.2 Summary of the Findings
The study used questionnaires as the main data collection method. When asked to what extent review of credit history of the member or borrower is done, 42% said to a very great extent, 27% said to a moderate extent, 24% to a little extent and 17% said to a great extent. On analysis of credit risk based decisions, 44% to a very great extent, 33% to a great extent and less than 20% to a moderate extent. Screening of clients before advancing credit, 46% to a great extent, 40% said to a very great extent, while 13% said to a moderate extent.

On the challenges, the results revealed that the majority (92%) did not have challenges determining which clients are viable for loans and which are not while 8% said yes. According to the study, the main challenges faced by the respondents were failure to disclose full personal information by the clients and results revealed on training financial institution staff on risk control, the majority (50%) said to a very great extent, 35% to a great extent while 14% said to a moderate extent. Value of collateral is ascertained according to the study. This was evidenced by 34% of the respondents who said to a very great extent and 32% who said to a great extent. In regard to secure loan financial institutioning system, the respondents held the view that this is carried out to a very great extent (33%), 31% said to a great extent while 27% said to a moderate extent. Listing in CRB for loan defaulters revealed that 43% agreed to a very great extent, 26% to a great extent, while 24% opined to a moderate extent. Penalties are imposed on loan defaulters to a very great extent (46%), 29% were of the view to a great extent, 18% to a moderate extent while 8% said to a little extent. Is to whether the institution ensures that the loan is used for the intended purpose, 38% said to a great extent, 30% said to a very great extent, 18% to a moderate extent while 14% said to a very little extent.

Fifty-two percent of the respondents agreed to a very great extent that the institution continuously monitors of cash flows of borrower, 18% said to a great extent while 17% said...
to a moderate extent. As to whether there is constant contact with borrowers, 43% of the respondents said to a very great extent, 27% to a great extent, and 18% to a moderate extent. Fifty-one percent agreed to a very great extent that clients loan repayment pattern is reviewed, 28% said to a great extent while less than 15% said to a moderate extent. The respondents agreed to a very great extent that there is support of distressed borrowers, 23% said to a moderate extent, while 19% said to a great extent. On the issue of frequent loan classification/provisioning, 32% said to a very great extent, 29% opined to a great extent, 25% said to a moderate extent while 13% said to a very little extent. In addition, the researcher wanted to establish whether revising of credit risk control and appraisal measures is done. The results revealed that 42% said to a very great extent, 34% said to a great extent while 14% said to a moderate extent.

On the regression part, findings revealed that loan appraisal procedures, debt recovery policies, and diversification of credit products were positively associated with the dependent variable (profitability). However, loan appraisal procedures, debt recovery policies as included in the model were not statistically significant at 5% significance level ($\beta_1= 0.078,t= 1.786, p=0.079; \beta_2=0.083,t= 1.329, p=0.188$). According to the model, only resource availability could be used to estimate capacity building since it was statistically significant at .05 alpha level ($\beta_1 = 0.497,t= 2.572, p=0.014$). The null hypothesis that there is no clear link between loan appraisal procedures and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town was therefore retained and concluded that there is no relationship between loan appraisal procedures and the profitability. Likewise, the study found no clear link between debt recovery policies and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town hence the decision was to fail to reject the null hypothesis. In terms of the relationship between diversification of credit products and the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town, the model revealed a test statistic of 10.73 associated with a p value of $p<.01$. The decision to reject the null hypothesis 4 and conclude that there was sufficient evidence to suggest that diversification of credit products is associated with profitability ($t= 10.73, p<.01$).

The results revealed that credit risk monitoring was negatively correlated with profitability. However, this correlation was not statistically significant hence the null hypothesis 3 was retained and concluded that there was no evidence based on the sample to suggest that credit risk monitoring as associated with profitability. This is in agreement of the findings from a study carried out by Saunders, (2012) in the United States, the findings indicated that debt
recovery policies largely affected the profitability of microfinance institutions. He looked at various microfinance institutions in the country and also investigated the policies that were being used in debt collection. The results revealed that credit risk monitoring was negatively correlated with profitability. The results revealed that credit risk monitoring was negatively correlated with profitability.

5.3 Conclusion
The major conclusion from this study is that microfinance institutions in Nakuru town are faced with credit risk as depicted by the significant negative relationship between the profitability and credit risk. Secondly, changes in the lending CBK interest rates greatly affect the profitability of the Microfinance institutions in Nakuru town.

Loan appraisal procedures, debt recovery policies, and diversification of credit products were positively associated with the dependent variable (profitability). This means that all these factors affected the profitability of microfinance institutions in Nakuru town. It is therefore important that microfinance institutions come up with ways of mitigating the effects of these factors.

5.4 Recommendations
The major policy recommendation is that the MFIs in Kenya must constantly pay attention to the credit risk being a major risk affecting its performance. For instance, it needs to come up with a ceiling on its non-performing loans beyond which it should shift its major focus towards thoroughly investigating and recovering the non-performing loans.

Secondly, the central financial institution of Kenya needs to come up with regulatory measures to regulate not only the DTMs but also the non-deposit taking MFIs. Besides, the government should come up with a legislation to strengthen the Association of Microfinance Institutions (AMFI-Kenya) regulatory role of MFIs in Kenya.

Lastly, the regulators who include the central financial institution of Kenya and the AMFI-Kenya must come up with capital adequacy requirements of the MFIs both the deposit and non-deposit taking, in line with best risk management practices in the financial sector globally.
5.5 Suggestions for further Research

Further research needs to be carried out on the unregulated MFIs in Kenya for instance, research need to be done on the effects of the absence of regulations on the Micro finance institution in Kenya

Secondly, research is recommended on the effect of Credit Reference Bureaus on loan performance in microfinance institutions in Kenya. Further research should also be done on the relationship between credit management and nonperforming loans on Microfinance Institutions in Kenya and on the reasons for loan default in microfinance organizations from the clients perspective.

Finally, further research is recommended on the effect of insider lending on the performance of Microfinance institutions and more emphasis on the unregulated micro finance institutions.
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APPENDICES
APPENDIX I: LETTER OF INTRODUCTION

Dear Sir/ Madam,

RE: RESEARCH DATA COLLECTION

I am a student at Kabarak University undertaking a study on “THE EFFECTS OF CREDIT RISK MITIGATION STRATEGIES ON PROFITABILITY OF MICROFINANCE INSTITUTIONS IN NAKURU TOWN” for the fulfillment of requirements for the award of Master of Business Administration Degree.

I therefore humbly request you to fill in the questionnaire issued to you to the best of your ability. The research is purely academic in nature and any information obtained from this questionnaire will be confidential.

Thank you in advance.

Yours faithfully,

Kariuki M Joseph
APPENDIX II: QUESTIONNAIRE

Section A: Background Information

1. Name of the Microfinance institution

2. Please indicate your gender.

   Male □   Female □

3. Age of the respondent

   Less than 20 years □
   Between 21 and 30 □
   Between 31 and 40 □
   Between 41 and 50 □
   Between 51 and 60 □
   Above 60 □

4. Highest level of education.

   Primary □
   Secondary □
   College □
   University □

Section B: Loan Appraisal Procedure

To what extent does your organization undertake the following credit risk analysis strategies to ensure that loan appraisal is properly done to prevent it from failing in its obligations and meeting its objectives?
1. To a very great extent  
2. To a great extent  
3. To a moderate extent  
4. To a little extent  
5. Not at all

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review of credit history of the member or borrower</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of credit risk based decisions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening of clients before advancing credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit risk information sharing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighing and prioritizing risk events and clients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Others (specify)

...........................................................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................................................

Do you have challenges determining which clients are viable for loans and which are not?
Yes  [ ]  No  [ ]
If Yes state the challenges
...........................................................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................................................................

Do the strategies you use prove effective on loan appraisal?
Yes  [ ]  No  [ ]
Section C: Debt Recovery Policies

4. To what extent does your organization undertake the following credit risk control measures to ensure that credit risk control is well done to prevent it from failing in its obligations and meeting its objectives?

1. To a very great extent  2. To a great extent  3. To a moderate extent  4. To a little extent  5. Not at all

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training financial institution staff on risk control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascertaining the value of collateral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure loan financial institutioning system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRB listing upon default</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalties upon default</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring the loan is used for intended purpose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do the presence of bad debts affect the profitability of your institution?

Yes  □  No  □

Do you have challenges when it comes to debt recovery from bad debtors?

Yes  □  No  □

Do the strategies you use for debt collection prove effective?

Yes  □  No  □
Section D: Credit Risk Monitoring

To what extent does your organization undertake the following credit risk monitoring measures to ensure that credit risk monitoring is properly done to prevent it from failing in its obligations and meeting its objectives?

1. To a very great extent    2. To a great extent    3. To a moderate extent    4. To a little extent    
5. Not at all

Credit Risk Monitoring

| Continuous monitoring of cash flows of borrower | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Constant contact with borrowers | | | | | |
| Review of clients loan repayment pattern | | | | | |
| Supporting distressed borrowers and | | | | | |
| Frequent loan classification/provisioning | | | | | |
| Revising credit risk control and appraisal measures | | | | | |

Others (Specify)

........................................................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................................................

Apart from credit risk identification, appraisal, control and monitoring, what other risk management does your organisation use?

........................................................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................................................

Section E: Diversification of credit products

Please indicate the credit products available in your institution

........................................................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................................................

Has the diversification of credit products affected the profitability of your institution?

Yes  No

In what ways has the diversification of credit products affected your institution's profitability?

Increased profitability
Section F: Profitability
Has risk mitigation affected the profitability of your institution?
Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes state how it has affected your profitability
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
........................................................................................................................................
Are the strategies being used effective and are they translating into profits for your institution?
Yes ☐ No ☐
APPENDIX III: LIST OF MICROFINANCE INSTITUTIONS

1. Rafiki Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
2. Platinum credit
3. SMEP Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
4. Kenya Women Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
5. Daraja Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
6. Faulu Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
7. Choice Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
8. Caritas Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
9. U & I Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
10. Remu Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
11. Maisha Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
12. Sumac Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
13. Century Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
14. Daraja Microfinance Financial institution Ltd
15. Taifa Option Microfinance
16. Musoni Microfinance
17. JuhudiKilimo Microfinance

Source (Financial Institutions Report, 2012)